




Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Ore Geology Reviews

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/oregeo

Erratum

Erratum for “Corrigendum to “Gold metallogeny associated with craton destruction: A geophysical perspective from the North China Craton” published in Ore Geology Reviews, 2016, Vol. 75, pp. 29–41”



Chuansong He^{a,*}, M. Santosh^{b,c}, Qiong-Yan Yang^{b,c}

^a Institute of Geophysics, China Earthquake Administration, 100081 Beijing, China

^b Department of Earth Sciences, School of Physical Sciences, University of Adelaide, SA 5005, Australia

^c School of Earth Sciences and Resources, China University of Geosciences, 100083 Beijing, China

The Publisher sincerely apologizes some omissions in the contents of the Corrigendum, “Corrigendum to “Gold metallogeny associated with craton destruction: A geophysical perspective from the North China Craton” published in Ore Geology Reviews 75 (2016) 29–41, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.oregeorev.2015.12.004>”.

The full content is reproduced below.

The authors sincerely regret some inadvertent errors in our above article which require some corrections to text and figures as follows.

$$\text{Error: } t_{ij} = T_{ij}^{\text{CAL}} - T_{ij}^{\text{OBS}}$$

$$\text{Correction: } t_{ij} = T_{ij}^{\text{OBS}} - T_{ij}^{\text{CAL}} \text{ (Zhao et al., 1994).}$$

Other corrections in text:

Page 34, right column, line 12: remove “and 26231 S”.

Page 34, right column, line 18–20: “0.5° × 0.5° laterally and 25, 40, 60, 120, 150, 200, 250, 300, 350, 400, 500, 600 and 700” should read “1° × 1° laterally and 50, 100, 150, 200, 300, 400, 500, 600, 700 and 800”.

Page 34, right column, line 23–24: “the 25 km, 40 km, 60 km, 90 km, 120 km, 150 km, and 200 km depth sections (Fig. 6: a–g)” should read “50, 100, 150, 200 and 300 km depth sections (Fig. 6)”.

Page 34, right column, line 26: 250–300 km should read 50–200 km.

Page 34, right column, line 28: (Fig. 6: h–i) should read (Fig. 6), and “350 km and 400 km” should read “500 and 600 km”.

Page 34, right column, line 29: (Fig. 6: j–k) should read (Fig. 6).

Page 34, right column, line 31: (Fig. 6: l) should read (Fig. 6).

Page 34, right column, line 34–35: “In the 600 km and 700 km depth (Fig. 6: m–n), which are located at the MTZ and lower mantle, respectively” should read “In the 50–300 km depth of East NCC”.

Page 35, line 1: “Fig. 5. The location of the seismic stations in the NCC used for tomography analyses and the velocity distribution profiles in this study” Should read “Fig. 5. The location of the seismic stations in the NCC used for tomographic study. Dot red line: velocity perturbation profiles”.

Page 35, left column, line 4: “35–38°” should read “33–40°”.

Page 36–37, Fig. 6 and caption to be replaced by the figure and caption given under Fig. 6 in this corrigendum.

DOI of original article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.oregeorev.2015.12.004>.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: hechuansong@aliyun.com (C. He).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.oregeorev.2017.02.010>

0169-1368/© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.




Fig. 6. P-wave velocity perturbation at 50, 100, 150, 200, 300, 400, 500, 600, 700, 800 km depth sections in the NCC. a: North NCC gold district, b: Jiaodong gold district, c: Linyi gold district, d: Xiong'ershan gold district, e: Xiaoqingling gold district (Yang et al., 2013).

Page 38, Fig. 7 to be replaced with Fig. 7 and caption in this corrigendum.




Fig. 7. Latitudinal profiles, a, b, c, d, e, f, g and h are at 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39 and 40 degree north, respectively (see Fig. 5 for profile location).

Reference

- Zhao, D., Hasegawa, A., Kanamori, H., 1994. Deep structure of Japan subduction zone as derived from local, regional, and teleseismic events. *J. Geophys. Res.* 99, 22313–22329. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/94JB01149>.